

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	I	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/355,946	08/16/99 NAKAMURA		М	P7318-9007	
NIKAIDO MARMELSTEIN MURRAY AND ORAM METROPOLITAN SQUARE					EXAMINER
				GALLAGHER, J	
CEE STORY	M SWUARE			GALLA	GHER,J
655 FIFTEEN	TH SOLIABE N	W		ART UNIT	GHER, J PAPER NUMBER
655 FIFTEEN SUITE 330 G WASHINGTON	TH SQUARE N	DV			
655 FIFTEEN SUITE 330 G	TH SQUARE N	DV		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

`

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner		Group Art Unit	

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet i	hangath the comment
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	XPIRE /	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expiration to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, co 	vithin the statutory minim	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
Status	ppcameri to a	35 U.S.C. § 133).
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I. 	ormal matters, prose	ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	1, 100 0.0. 210	•
	,	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s) / -/ Company / -/ C		is/are objected to.
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	7	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	ew PTO 049	
ine proposed drawing correction, filed on	io	1 diamento 1
is/are objected to	by the Evaminor	disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	-y are Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		•
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority copies of the priority and a second	ority documents have	e been
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Internation	al Puros. (DOT D.)	
*Certified copies not received:	a Duleau (PCT Hule	e 1 /.2(a)).
itachment(s)		•
Uniformation Disclosure Statement (a) DTO 4446 5	Inter	rview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). — ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-802		
 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	□ Notic	ce of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit: 1733

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a polyolefin article, classified in class 428, subclass 500 or
 523.
 - II. Claims 5-8 and 11-12, drawn to a bonding process, classified in class 156, subclass 308.6.
 - III. Claims 9-10, drawn to another bonding process, classified in class 156, subclass 309.6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because: the inventions of Groups I-III are held/seen to be mutually independent and distinct, none of these respectively inventions requiring the particular of the others, as follows: (a) the Group I invention (product) defines a single layer article, which would clearly not be formed/made by the lamination processes of Groups II and III, which results in the formation of multi layer articles/laminates; and (b) the Group II (method) constitutes a SOLVENT bonding process, while the Group III invention (method) constitutes a FUSION bonding process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as, shown by their different classification, and since the fields of search are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/355946

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 5.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6.

should be directed to J. J. GALLAGHER whose telephone number is (703) 308-1971. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from approximately 8:30 A.M. to 5 P.M. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate N/A.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

MICHAEL BALL, can be reached on (703) 308-32058. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661/0662.

October 25, 2000

Page 3